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ABSTRACT. The present state of reindeer winter and summer pastures in northern Finland has been mapped using field sampling, remote sensing, and GIS. The winter pasture inventory was made during 1995–96 and the summer pasture inventory during 1997–98. Winter pastures can be divided into ground lichen and arboreal lichen pastures. Autumn pastures, including pastures with grasses, dwarf shrubs, and mushrooms, were also mapped and added to the inventory of winter pastures. Summer and winter pastures partly overlap, but the main pasture areas are clearly distinct from each other. Major summer feeding areas are marshes, mires and river meadows, and mountain birch woodland. Biomass data were obtained by volumetric calculations of lichens and standard samples of the green parts of summer fodder plants. The results show that the most abundant lichen resources are found in the northern part of the management area, while the most abundant summer pasture resources prevail in the middle and southern parts. The overall accuracy of the winter pasture mosaic is 88%, with a Kappa coefficient of 0.84. The overall accuracy of the summer pasture mosaic is 84%, with a Kappa coefficient of 0.81.
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INTRODUCTION

The Finnish reindeer (Rangifer tarandus tarandus L.) is a semidomesticated Rangifer species. It is closely related to the wild Fennoscandian and Siberian mountain and forest reindeer and the North American caribou. Reindeer are physiologically and ecologically well adapted to Arctic conditions (McEwan and Whitehead, 1970; Miller, 1978). During winter, reindeer sustain themselves on lichens, grasses, and shrubs, of which ground and arboreal lichens can be said to be the most critical for survival (Andreyev, 1977; Helle and Saastamoinen, 1979; Helle, 1984; Helle and Tarvainen, 1984; Kojola et al., 1995, 1998). During summer, reindeer graze selectively on many different plant species (Skogland, 1978).

The Finnish reindeer does not show the typical migratory behaviour seen in wild reindeer and caribou. Through domestication and selection, the Finnish reindeer has become sedentary, having a home range of only 300–600 km², although lack of food can trigger movement over considerable distances (Kumpula and Nieminen, 1992).

Reindeer are a unique source of livelihood for many families in the North because they can survive under harsh conditions, using resources that otherwise would be of limited economic use. Reindeer are also an integral part of northern Finland’s cultural heritage and therefore one of its major tourist attractions. Finnish reindeer herding is regulated by the Reindeer Management Law of 1932 (revised in 1948 and 1990), which restricts free grazing of reindeer to northern Finland (Fig. 1) (Poronhoitolakie-sityksen tarkistamistyöryhmä, 1988; Poronhoitolaki, 1990).

The reindeer management area is divided into 56 districts, with a total area of 123 000 km². These districts vary in size from 600 km² to 5700 km². Fences or natural
borders restrict movement between districts, but reindeer graze freely within the area they belong to. Because of their sedentary character and free grazing habit, reindeer tend to trample and overgraze lichen pastures during summer and autumn.

A reindeer management district, or paliskunta, as it is called in Finnish, is a co-operative unit with a legal status. These districts are represented in the Reindeer Herders Association, which is funded by the Finnish government (Huttu-Hiltunen et al., 1990; Paliskuntain yhdistys, 2002). Reindeer are owned privately, but managed collectively. Earmarks are used to confirm ownership, and collective roundups for marking, counting, and slaughter provide a framework of trust and partnership. Each district has a fixed reindeer quota, set by the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. This quota depends upon the size of the area, and the quality and quantity of winter pastures, which can restrict the number of reindeer to be kept during winter (the number left after the autumn culling season). The number of reindeer per district can vary from less than 1000 to about 12000.

The quota for the whole reindeer management area during the inventory period was 220000, and the present quota stands at 203 000. The number of reindeer is about 300 000 after the calving season, but after the culling season it is only about 200 000, well within the quota limit.

In 1977, there were about 7000 reindeer owners, both Finnish and Saami, but the number has decreased steadily; at present, it is about 6000. Reindeer ownership varies from tens to several hundreds of reindeer, and 50% of all owners have less than 10 reindeer (Kemppainen et al., 1997). The importance of reindeer ownership increases towards the north, and the highest numbers of animals per owner and per family are found in the Saami home area (Fig. 1). There are 1100 Saami reindeer owners, who have a total of 62 000 reindeer, which is 86.5% of all reindeer in the Saami area, and about 30% of all Finnish reindeer (Kemppainen and Nieminen, 2001). When compared with the Norwegian and Swedish reindeer herding situations, Finnish reindeer husbandry differs in at least two ways: first, reindeer husbandry is sedentary, with a rigid district system; second, reindeer ownership is not an exclusive Saami right. Finnish reindeer herding, thought to have been introduced by the Saami people, can be said to be at least 250 – 300 years old (Poronhoitolakiesityksen tarkistamistyöryhmä, 1988).

Although still close to nature, reindeer herding has undergone major changes since the Second World War. The introduction of snowmobiles in the 1960s was the start of a complex process of socioeconomic change in the reindeer herding community (Pelto, 1973). The introduction of modern livestock management practices (such as parasite control, calf-slaughtering, and supplementary feeding) and government subsidies for fences and other infrastructure have transformed reindeer herding into reindeer management (Nieminen et al., 1980; Helle et al., 1990; Kemppainen et al., 1997; Kemppainen and Nieminen, 2001). These changes, together with favourable weather conditions (especially during winter), caused an unprecedented increase in reindeer stock during the 1980s (Fig. 2). Severe winter conditions such as ice crusting and deep snow can induce major disaster for wild reindeer populations (Tyler, 1987). Wild reindeer and caribou try to avoid areas with a snow cover exceeding 60 cm (LaPerriere and Lent, 1977). Helle (1979) found that in the Kuusamo area, a snow cover of about 50 cm did not cause problems for reindeer. As the Finnish district system restricts migration, reindeer may have to dig through 80 to 100 cm of snow during winters with excessive snow. Favourable and unfavourable weather conditions seem to occur in cycles linked to the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) phenomenon (Helle et al., 2001). However, it is not entirely clear to what extent the negative effects of snow and ice are countered by supplementary feeding.

Reindeer numbers culminated during the Chernobyl disaster, when animals could not be slaughtered because of low demand for reindeer meat. At that time lichen pastures were already severely depleted, but the extra animals increased the pressure on winter and summer ranges to the extent that reindeer stock and carcass weight began to...
Pasture use by reindeer has a well-defined seasonal cycle of rotation between winter and summer pastures. Migration between the summer and winter pasture areas does not necessarily mean movement over large distances, as these pasture types can usually be found within the same area. (Spring and fall are less clearly defined, since they depend on weather and pasture conditions, and may be considered subsets of winter. The term “spring” refers to the time between March and May, when there is still a permanent cover of snow, but daylight is increasing and melting of snow starts. The term “winter” is used for the darker and colder period from October/November to March.)

The start of the reindeer year is the calving period, which falls just before the beginning of the growing season in the month of May. This timing gives newborn calves the opportunity to use the short Arctic summer to its full extent. Reindeer are browsers, feeding on more than 90 different plant species (Warenberg et al., 1997). Pastures preferred by reindeer during the beginning of summer are mire, fen, and river meadows, where the animals feed on fresh sedges (Carex sp.), Equisetum sp., Menyanthes trifoliata and other soft green plants. Birch (Betula sp.) and willow (Salix sp.) thickets are also favoured during the beginning of summer, when the leaves are still young and soft. Clear-cut areas and other treeless areas growing herbs and grasses are important all summer.

At the beginning of autumn, reindeer move into submesic and mesic forest areas to feed on mushrooms (Boletus sp.) and grasses. Wire grass (Deschampsia flexuosa) is especially important, as it remains green long after summer, even after the first snow has fallen. Wire grass usually occurs in regenerating clear-cut and young forest, thereby compensating partly for the loss of mature forest due to forestry operations.

During winter, reindeer move to lichen pastures (Cladina spp. and Cladonia uncialis), where they dig lichens from under the snow. At the beginning of spring, cycles of melting and refreezing can form an ice crust on top of the snow cover and reindeer have to migrate again to pastures with arboreal lichens (Alectoria sp. and Bryoria sp.). These arboreal lichens, found in mature spruce and pine forests, can be browsed by reindeer from the lowest branches. Reindeer also forage on arboreal lichens that accumulate on the snow cover after being detached by strong wind (litter fall and blowdown).

Grazing pressure is not distributed evenly over the reindeer management area. Total reindeer density is much higher in the north than in the south (Fig. 3). This disparity is caused by two factors. First, agriculture and forestry are much more intensive in the south, which restricts the extent of reindeer herding. Second, the amount of ground lichens is much greater in the north, allowing more reindeer to be kept during winter.

**REINDEER PASTURES**

**STUDY AREA**

The Finnish reindeer management area is bordered by Sweden, Norway, and Russia. Northern Finland is part of the Precambrian Fennoscandian shield. The highest mountains in the north go up to 1000 m, but usually fjells reach only about 500 m above sea level. Most of the area is characterized by low, gently undulating relief. Poor drainage and a cool, humid climate have made wetlands common, especially in the southern part of the reindeer management area, where mires and fens can cover over 50% of the area (Lappalainen, 1996).

The climate is moderated by the Gulf Stream, which keeps the Norwegian coast ice-free all year round. Snow is present for six months in the north and five months in the coastal areas in the south. Temperatures can be as low as −50°C, but usually winter temperatures vary between −10°C and −30°C. Summer temperatures can go up to +30°C, but generally vary between +10°C and +20°C. Annual precipitation is

---

about 500–600 mm, but local anomalies occur with less than 400 mm (Alalammi, 1987). Most of the area is part of the middle and northern boreal forest zone (Fig. 1). Dominant tree species are pine and spruce, with birch as the most abundant deciduous species. The spruce and pine tree line in the north defines the oro-arctic zone. This zone is characterized by mountain birch forests and treeless mountaintops.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Autumn and Winter Pastures

On the basis of our previous experiences and the size of the area, we decided to use Landsat 5 Thematic Mapper (TM) images for the inventory. In theory, 10 full Landsat TM images would cover the whole study area. However, the short summer (June–August) and the frequent occurrence of clouds made this impossible. In total, we had to use 22 full and quarter scenes acquired during different years. Most of the images dated from 1987 to 1994, but three older images acquired in 1984 had to be used to cover some gaps and cloudy areas. All except three images were cloudless. The inventory was done in two phases. The oldest images were used for the northern part, and the newer images for the southern part of the study area. The fieldwork was done during the summers of 1995 and 1996. The sites were selected by the field teams during the campaign, as there were no previous data on the location of the different pasture types. The location of field sites was randomized in order to get an even spatial distribution, limiting spatial autocorrelation. However, the road network controlled randomness to some degree (Fig. 4). A field site is a homogeneous area, representing a certain land use/cover type, with a size of at least two hectares. From 60 to 140 sites were visited per reindeer herding district, depending on its size. Of the total 5392 sites visited, half were located on ground lichen pastures (Cladina sp. and Cladonia uncialis), while the other half were located on clear-cut areas, swamps and mires, deciduous forests, mixed forests, spruce forests, bare mountaintops, and some marginal classes (sand and fields). At each site, we measured the length of the living part of the ground lichens and estimated the coverage percentage. Other details included were forest type, age, tree species, amount of arboreal lichens, amount of wire grass, and soil material. The position of the site was obtained with a Trimble GPS receiver averaging 100 measurements. Regression-based equations were used to estimate lichen, wire grass, and accessible arboreal lichen biomass. Reindeer lichen biomass (Cladina sp. and Cladonia uncialis) was measured as in Kumpula et al. (2000):

$$LBM = (0.6134 \cdot LC \cdot LH) + (0.000038075 \cdot LC^2 \cdot LH^2)$$  \[1\]

where $LBM = \text{lichen biomass kg/ha (dry mass)}$, $LC = \text{average reindeer lichen coverage percentage}$, and $LH = \text{average length of living part of reindeer lichen (mm)}$.

Wire grass (Deschampsia flexuosa) was measured following Mattila and Helle (1978) and Sulkava and Helle (1975):
The study identified 22 different vegetation classes, broadly following the forest type theory of Cajander (1949) (Colpaert et al., 1995). The original 22 classes proved to be difficult to interpret for practical reindeer management, and we therefore regrouped them into seven autumn and winter pasture classes (see below).

To obtain the spatial distribution of the various reindeer pastures, image classification was performed on the Landsat scenes using ER Mapper software (Earth Resource Mapping, 2000). The images were processed in four steps: 1) image rectification; 2) identification of “training sites,” homogenous areas whose characteristics will be used for classification; 3) supervised classification using the maximum likelihood classifier (22 classes); and 4) accuracy evaluation. The following accuracy standards were used: for the rectification, the acceptable RMS error had to be less than one pixel (30 m); and for the classification, overall accuracy had to be at least 80% (Colpaert et al., 1995).

Every Landsat TM image was classified separately, using 10 to 20 training sites. The accuracy assessment was done in two phases: first, a crude evaluation of the original training sites; then, if these proved to be correct, a second assessment using the remaining (independent) field sites.

The post-classification process consisted of five steps: 1) export to the GIS database, 2) creation of a complete mosaic, 3) peatland correction, 4) reclassification, and 5) final product accuracy evaluation. All classified scenes were exported to the Arc/Info GIS database. We used Arc/Info and the MERGE command in the GRID module (ESRI, 2001) to combine the classified scenes into one large mosaic. The merging was done in a layered fashion, putting the best images on top, covering gaps and clouds, and minimizing border effects.

The accuracy assessments showed that it was difficult to distinguish between forests on mineral and organic land, and confusion also occurred between agricultural fields and treeless mires. For this reason, a peatland mask was acquired from the National Land Survey to make a post-classification correction. The algorithm used is shown in Figure 5. The correction algorithm is applied after the scenes are merged into one mosaic. The algorithm basically tests whether the area is forested or not, reclassifying forested areas on peat as pine mires, and treeless areas on peat as treeless mires. However, if an area was classified as peat, but the peatland mask says it is not peat, the data are changed into NODATA, and the area is filled in afterwards from the outside by the NIBBLE command (Colpaert, 1998).

After the peatland correction, the complete mosaic was reclassified into the seven final pasture classes: (2) ground lichen pastures, dry and very dry soils; (3) arboreal pastures, mature spruce forest; (4) deciduous forest, grassland and heath; (5) mires and fens; (6) fields not in use as reindeer pasture; (7) bare mountaintops, sand, and gravel; and (8) water. (The category (1) cloud from the original data was eliminated.) To assess the accuracy of the final product, a subset of 250 sites was randomly selected from the original 5392 field sites, using the Animal Movement extension package with the Arcview software (Hooge et al., 1999). These 250 sites were checked manually against the original field data using the ArcGIS 8.1 software (ESRI, 2001). The accuracy evaluation was not done per pixel, but an area of 120 m (four pixels) around each site was taken into consideration. It was assumed that after merging, peatland correction, and reclassification, the sample was independent of the original training areas. The final accuracy assessment was done separately for summer and winter pastures, using different sets of field sites (N = 250).

We evaluated the overall accuracy, Kappa coefficient, and user’s and producer’s accuracy. The overall accuracy is defined as the number of sites correctly classified divided by the total number of sites. Producer’s accuracy indicates the percentage of sample points in a particular class in the
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reference data that were correct in the classified image. User’s accuracy is the percentage of sample points that the classified image predicts to be in a particular class that the reference data verify as correct. The Kappa statistic (KHAT) indicates how the classification compares to randomly assigning values to each test site (Earth Resource Mapping, 1999). The Kappa coefficient is defined as:

\[
\text{Kappa} = \frac{(\text{overall accuracy} - \text{expected accuracy})}{(1 - \text{expected accuracy})}
\]

Ground lichen biomass values were calculated for every site in each district using equation [1]. The average biomass values were calculated for every pasture type (as defined in the field) within each district. For wire grass and arboreal lichen biomass calculations, equations [2] and [3] were used, but in this case values were averaged for groups of neighbouring districts (also called earmark districts), as the number of values (observations) per district was too small to calculate a valid average for each.

**Summer Pastures**

The summer pasture inventory was made in 1997 and 1998. As summer pastures differ greatly from winter pastures in both rate of growth and number of species, a different field inventory method was used. A field site is defined as an area of at least two hectares. The centre of the site was marked and its position obtained with a Trimble GPS receiver, using the average of 100 measurements. A central line 140 m long was drawn through this point, extending 70 m on either side of the point. Five circles, each 4 m in diameter, were situated at 35 m intervals along the central line, three on one side and two on the other. Within each circle, two vegetation grids (1 x 1 m) were analyzed, making a total of ten grids per field site. The coverage (%) of 32 reindeer fodder species and groups of species were evaluated, as well as other details, such as percentage of bare soil. The green parts of fodder species were collected from two 1 x 1 x 1.5 m plots for biomass estimation. In addition to the vegetation grid data, general site information was acquired, such as mire type, forest type, or tree species. The mire typology followed the system of Laine and Vassander (1996). As the collection of biomass samples is a slow process, fewer sites could be visited than during the winter pasture inventory. Sites were selected randomly beforehand using the results of the winter pasture inventory, and they cover the different bioclimatic vegetation zones evenly (Kalliola, 1973). We used six mire vegetation zones, based upon the Finnish mire type zones of Ruuhijärvi (1988). The mire and bioclimatic zones were digitized and stored in the GIS database. The field campaign moved always from south to north. In this way, differences in climate and growing season could be compensated for. As mires and fens are most important summer pastures, half of all inventory sites were located on mires and fens, and half on mineral soils.

The biomass samples were dried for 16 hours at +105°C and weighed to calculate the average biomass of five different fodder plant groups: 1) leaves of deciduous trees, 2) sedges, 3) grasses and hay, 4) herbs, and 5) shrubs.

For every pasture type, the total coverage and biomass per hectare was calculated by bio-climatic and mire zone. The final classification scheme consisted of a total of 19 classes; nine mire classes, one peat mining area class, seven forest types, mountain heath, and bare mountaintops.

Like the winter pasture classification, the summer pasture classes were reclassified into 11 main classes (numbers derive from colour codes: 1) = rich mire pasture; 2 = moderate mire pasture; 3 = poor mire pasture; 5 = bare mountaintops; 10 = peat mining areas; 35 = mountain heath land; 41 = clear-cut areas; 42 = deciduous forest; 43 = mountain birch woodland; 46 = mixed forest; and 47 = spruce forest.

The image classification of the summer pastures used the following approach: the pasture classes on mineral soils were assumed to be identical to those of the winter pasture classification and were therefore not classified. This made it possible to concentrate the image processing on the mire pasture types, as these are considered to be among the most important sources of summer fodder. We used the same 22 Landsat 5 TM images used for the winter pasture inventory.

The classification started by separating the mineral and organic areas, using the peatland mask already used in the winter pasture classification. The peatland mask was used to remove everything but the mires. After this step, the image classification method was identical to that used for the winter pasture classification. Because the mask was used at the beginning of the classification, there was no need for post-classification corrections. The original 32 mire types were reduced to nine mire types plus the peat mining area class. The classes were based on the relative richness in reindeer summer fodder, and could be reduced into three major classes: rich, moderate, and poor in reindeer summer fodder.

An accuracy test was performed upon every single scene, which after approval was exported to the Arc/Info GIS database. The scenes were put together as a mosaic in the same way as the winter pasture scenes. A final accuracy evaluation was performed on the complete summer pasture mosaic using the method described for the winter pastures.

The total area of every pasture class by reindeer herding district is given by the GIS system. The total biomass for each district can easily be calculated by multiplying average biomass (kg/ha) by the total area of this class (ha). By summing the total biomass of all classes, we get the total biomass estimate for each district.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The overall accuracy of the winter pasture mosaic is 88% (confidence limits 82–92%) with a Kappa coefficient of
0.84. The user’s and producer’s accuracies for winter are shown in Tables 1 and 2. The overall accuracy of the summer pasture mosaic is 84% (confidence limits 80 – 88%), with a Kappa coefficient of 0.81. The user’s and producer’s accuracies for summer are shown in Tables 3 and 4.

We also compared the present classification with the figures given by the official Finnish Forestry Inventory (Mattila, 1996; Tomppo et al., 2001) (Table 5). The main source of difference is the fact that the official forest inventory uses eight forest development classes, while our
To allow lichen recovery, a lichen biomass of 1000 kg/ha would be the minimum condition (Kumpula et al., 2000). However, only 19% of the districts meet this condition. It is estimated that about ten hectares of moderate condition lichen pasture is needed per reindeer (Kumpula et al., 2000). This would mean an average minimal biomass of 10000 kg lichen per reindeer. When we consider both reindeer density and availability of lichen resources, it is clear that the situation is critical in many districts (Fig. 7). One also must keep in mind that these figures are gross figures, and the amount available per reindeer cannot be consumed entirely, as this would mean total depletion of pasture resources.

Supplementary feeding with commercial feed and hay compensates nowadays for the obvious lack of suitable winter pastures. At present all districts practice supplementary feeding during winter, whether in corrals under controlled conditions or in the forest, allowing the animals to use both natural and supplementary feed (Nieminen and Autto, 1989). Snow depth and hardiness are major factors influencing winter fodder resources. Snow conditions vary from winter to winter, so in the long run reindeer can be assumed to use the available area evenly. This is shown by the fact that the lichen cover has been preserved only in enclosures, which cannot be grazed at all. Snow cover is therefore assumed not to affect the present inventory.

Winter pastures are critical for the survival of reindeer under natural conditions; summer pastures, on the contrary, are important for regaining strength during the beginning of summer and producing meat and fat to prepare for the coming winter (Kojola et al., 1995; Kumpula et al., 1998, 2000, 2002; Kumpula, 2000). The inventory clearly shows that summer pastures are most abundant in the southern districts and least abundant in the northern areas (Fig. 8). Also because of climatic conditions, the summer pastures of the north are poorer and have fewer suitable mires and fens. During our field observations, we saw signs of overgrazing of summer pastures in parts of the northern mountainous districts. For example, reindeer frequently browse mountain birch stands during the beginning of summer, producing characteristic "apple tree forests." Heavy grazing during the summer can inhibit regeneration of these mountain birch forests, and thus promote the increase of mountain heath (Lehtonen and Heikkinen, 1995).

CONCLUSIONS

The results gave good estimates of the amount of pasture and natural fodder in each district. However, the pasture area or biomass values cannot be considered absolute values. First of all, the amount of biomass is affected by the state of the growing season and weather conditions. Evaluation of the lichen coverage percentage is affected by illumination and moisture conditions. These facts do not diminish the value of the inventory, and the results can be used in many ways. For example, the Finnish army and foresters use pasture maps to minimize inconvenience to reindeer herding caused by their activities. The results of the project also provide a sound database for studying the interactions between pasture resources and productivity of reindeer stock (Kumpula et al., 1998, 2000, 2002).
As there has been no drastic reduction of reindeer numbers, we have to see if any improvement in the ground lichen pastures will occur during the coming decades. However, the present tendency towards increasingly intensive supplementary feeding could prove to be beneficial for pasture regeneration, especially if parts of the winter ranges could be left ungrazed for recovery.
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